

RFP 366 Q&A

Could you please provide us with maps of areas that will require Phase I cultural resource survey and known sites to be evaluated? Shape Files, kmz or pdf formats.

Refer to RFP.

Regarding the above subject solicitation, could you please verify that we do not need to submit the following documents with our proposal?:

- **Exhibit 2 – Scope of Work**
- **Exhibit 3 – Insurance Documentation**
- **Exhibit 4 – Federal and State Work Authorization and Immigration Laws Documentation**

Attachment A and Attachment B need to be included in the proposal. Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, and Exhibit 4 are part of Attachment C, which will be required for the contract, if selected, but not for the proposal.

Can JIA provide proposers with GIS or CAD files of the APE which differentiate the previously served area from the unsurveyed acreage?

Not at this time.

Does the JIA Golf Course Management staff have a general plan that we should adhere to so as to not disrupt ongoing play?

The schedule will be coordinated with the selected finalist and the golf course management team. The Director of Golf has indicated that with proper planning and four courses available for use, it is possible to close a course at a time, if need be, without disrupting play. Priority areas to address first are unsurveyed areas and archaeological sites located south of Captain Wylly Road.

How many sites do you anticipate will need testing?

Up to proposer. There are three known archaeological sites in the APE which have been previously recommended Eligible or Potentially Eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. Five known sites have been recommended Ineligible. Three other sites have not been evaluated. Other sites could be located during the course of investigations which may also require testing.

Section 8 D 2. Can you provide a map showing what areas were previously surveyed and which areas still need to be surveyed? Can we get a copy of prior cultural resource reports pertaining to the project area?

Refer to RFP. Materials will be available for selected finalist to research.

Section 8 D 3. The RFP mentions conducting Phase II testing at certain sites. Can you elaborate on that requirement? Are these sites that were identified during prior investigations? If so, how many sites and which ones? If it pertains only to sites that are going to identified during the pending survey can we provide a rate sheet of costs rather than a lump sum amount since we don't know how many significant sites we'll encounter?

Up to proposer. There are three known archaeological sites in the APE which have been previously recommended Eligible or Potentially Eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. Five known sites have been recommended Ineligible. Three other sites have not been evaluated. Other sites could be located during the course of investigations which may also require testing.

Section 8 D 4. Is an architectural history survey also required as part of this RFP?

Refer to RFP. The selected finalist will be expected to locate and identify all cultural resources, archaeological sites or historic properties, within the APE. Two landscape architecture resources have been previously identified.

Section 8, A. - Does the approximately 769 acre APE extend beyond the golf course parcels into undisturbed areas of the island? Can you provide a map with the APE boundary?

Refer to RFP. Materials will be available for selected finalist to research.

Section 8, A. – Are there areas within the APE where no shovel testing should occur (e.g., tee boxes, greens, fairways, etc.)?

Up to proposer. Golf Course Management will be consulted on specifics prior to implementation of any proposed plan.

Section 8, B. - Based on the previous archaeological site information available in GNAHRGIS, within the APE of the golf courses, there are sites that have been recommended eligible to the NRHP. Should the proposal assume that Phase II investigations (which would need to be approved by HPD) and/or archival research will be needed at these sites to confirm eligibility? Should a Phase III mitigation plan and/or data recovery investigations be proposed instead? Or does no action need to be taken since the sites already have an eligibility recommendation?

Up to proposer. There are three known archaeological sites in the APE which have been previously recommended Eligible or Potentially Eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. Five known sites have been recommended Ineligible. Three other sites have not been evaluated. Other sites could be located during the course of investigations which may also require testing. Testing should be conducted where necessary or as required to determine eligibility. An assessment of effect and management recommendations, including any necessary mitigation recommendations, are part of the project expectations.

Section 8, B. - Based on the previous archaeological site information available in GNAHRGIS, within the APE of the golf courses, there are sites that have been recommended not eligible to the NRHP. Do these sites need to be revisited and reevaluated?

Up to proposer.

Section 8, B. - Does this proposal include an historic structures survey? And if so, will the direct APE be the same as for archaeological sites? Will you establish an indirect APE for such a survey?

The selected finalist will be expected to locate and identify all cultural resources, archaeological sites or historic properties, within the APE. Two landscape architecture resources have been previously identified. The boundaries of the APE will be the same for all cultural resources investigated.

Section 8, B. – Is the historic amphitheater within the APE boundary, and if so, should it be recorded and evaluated for the NRHP?

No, the amphitheatre is not within the APE boundary.

Section 8, B. – Three of the four golf courses are historic resources (built in 1927 and 1960s). Does this project require the NRHP evaluation of the golf courses as historic resources, and assess effects per the 2020 Jekyll Island Golf Club Master Plan?

The selected finalist will be expected to locate and identify all cultural resources, archaeological sites or historic properties, within the APE. Two landscape architecture resources have been previously identified, including one of the golf courses. An assessment of effect and management

recommendations, including any necessary mitigation recommendations, are part of the project expectations.

Section 8, C. – Will the Phase I and Phase II results be in the same report?

Yes.

Section 8., C - Is there a cost associated with curation of artifacts at the Jekyll Island Museum archives? If so, what are the curation costs, or will that cost be incurred by the JIA and not need to be included in the project cost estimate?

No.

Section 8., D. - Would Phase II investigations require a separate work plan approved by either JIA or HPD?

Up to proposer.

Attachment A - The certification implies that federal funding may be involved. Is this true, and if so, which federal agency is involved?

None at present.

Is there a map showing the boundary of the APE you could provide us? We have the master plan map from the materials you have provided, but we do not see an exact boundary on it for the APE. Also, is the proposed trail part of the APE, and if so, is there a specific corridor width for it?

Not at the present time.